

LEXICAL RECONSTRUCTION FOR THE RECONSTRUCTION OF PREHISTORY

© A.Y. Militarev

LEXICAL RECONSTRUCTION TO RECONSTRUCT PREHISTORY: THE PROTO-AFRASIAN TERMS FOR WEAPONS, WAR, AND OTHER ARMED CONFLICTS¹

Keywords: comparative-historical linguistics, reconstruction, proto-language, lexicon, Afrasian languages, weapons, war.

The article aims to reconstruct the Proto-Afrasian terminology of weapons and armed conflicts, including illuminating the problem of war in prehistory from a linguistic point of view, usually ignored by archaeologists and prehistorians when discussing this problem. The proto-language of the early Afrasians and their immediate descendants, the North Afrasians (who spoke the Proto-Semitic-Egyptian-Berber-Chadic language), whom the author identifies with the creators of the Natufian and post-Natufian archaeological cultures of the Levant, started branching, according to his glottochronological calculations, by the method of M. Swadesh, significantly improved by Sergei Starostin, in the 11th-10th mill. BCE. The article provides detailed etymologies of 12 reconstructed Proto-Afrasian terms for weapons (from mace to shield) and 13 terms denoting different types of armed conflicts; several of these indicate either an already established or an emerging meaning of “war” in the Proto-Afrasian language, and thus in the minds of its speaker community.

This article is a translation of: А.Ю. Милитарёв. Лексическая реконструкция для реконструкции предистории: праафразийские термины, относящиеся к оружию, войне и другим вооруженным конфликтам // *Etnograficheskoe Obozrenie*. 2021. No 4. P. 5–23. DOI: 10.31857/S086954150016695-4

Recent decades’ breakthroughs in population and archaeological genetics, satellite archaeology, dating methods, progress in sociocultural anthropology, cross-cultural research, comparative mythology and folklore studies have significantly advanced the reconstruction of human prehistory². Another rapidly advancing, though most underappreciated, field

Alexander Militarev | <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5684-3053> | amilitarev@gmail.com | Russian State University for the Humanities (6 Miusskaya Square, Moscow, 125267, Russia)

¹ This research is supported by The Russian Science Foundation (Project № 20-18-00159); the financing organization is The Institute of Linguistics, Russian Academy of Sciences.

² See *Korotayev et al.* 2019.

of study is comparative and historical linguistics, the application of which can illuminate aspects of prehistory that are less accessible or even unavailable to other fields and methods and are most effective when coordinated with extralinguistic data.

The ground-breaking interdisciplinary works in the 1980s, including those by Diakonoff³, Gamkrelidze and Ivanov⁴, stimulated similar research in different language families of the Old World. In the Afrasian (Afro-Asiatic, Semito-Hamitic) macrofamily, these were mainly the various works of the Czech linguist Vaclav Blažek⁵ and the present author⁶. On the current state, importance, and further prospects of this line of research in Eurasian and African studies, see the paper by Korotayev *et al.*⁷.

The present article has a dual purpose: (1) to present a set of reconstructed Proto-Afrasian terms of a particular semantic field, which in itself may be of interest to prehistorians, historians, archaeologists, and ethnographers, and (2) to demonstrate the possibilities of the classical comparative and historical method, enriched by later additional methods, such as glottochronology developed by Morris Swadesh⁸ and substantially improved by Sergei Starostin⁹, by the example of one of the controversial problems of ancient history: prehistoric warfare.

In the scholarly press, especially of the last two decades, the causes of ancient wars and the motivations of the warring parties¹⁰, early evidence of wars¹¹, and other problems related to prehistoric wars are vividly discussed. A recent international conference in 2018 was devoted to the issue of wars and, more broadly, prehistoric conflicts¹². War itself is said to be correctly identified by a number of researchers as one of the causes of social evolution¹³. However, the very validity of the issue of the causes of wars is questioned: “despite the importance of a process such as war, the search for the cause of wars actually distracts and obscures their nature and place in the evolution of human societies”, and further: “The problem is that the attempt to explain wars assumes that they are entities that can be described, analysed, and explained. A more productive approach is to recognise the following: that we resort to aggression to achieve our goals is part of our biological heritage, and we need to explain how aggression is expressed under different circumstances”¹⁴. Note that such a view of human nature, human “biological heritage”, dating back to Sir Arthur Keith and apparently dominating in modern anthropology, is not the only one – let us recall the passionate rejection of it by our great compatriot Vladimir Pavlovich Efroimson in his “Genealogy of Altruism”.

At first glance at the discussion of prehistoric warfare by archaeologists and prehistorians, it is striking that there is no consensus on the distinction between war and any other type of armed conflicts in the prehistoric era; it appears that such a consensus can only be tentative and purely terminological. Moreover, the very debates about the existence of a war in Epipaleolithic and Early Neolithic seem speculative, taking into account that they revolve around only a few (usually two) bio-archaeological pieces of evidence of interpersonal violence¹⁵, while “other interpretations, including capital punishment, human sacrifice,

³ Diakonoff 1981.

⁴ Gamkrelidze, Ivanov 1984.

⁵ Blažek 1994; 2008; 2013.

⁶ Militarev 1990; 2000; 2002; 2019.

⁷ Korotayev *et al.*

⁸ Swadesh 1955.

⁹ Starostin S.A. 2000.

¹⁰ Ferguson 2000.

¹¹ Otterbein 2004; Kennedy 2016.

¹² Hansen, Krause 2019.

¹³ Carneiro 1970, cited in Johnson, Earle 2017: 34

¹⁴ Ibid. P. 34–35.

¹⁵ Antoine *et al.* 2013: 68; Kennedy 2016.

murder... cannot be ruled out”¹⁶. Ethnographic extrapolations may suggest some insights, but they hardly significantly enrich the understanding of the war in prehistory¹⁷ and even less shed light on the key question: can prehistoric armed conflicts be considered as war – and, if so, which ones? The formulation of Haas also helps little to answer this question. He considers war to be “armed conflict and related activities and relations between independent political units in societies of all types”¹⁸ and suggests – unclear on what basis – “that wars as we define them rarely occurred before ten thousand years ago”¹⁹.

The search for an answer to this question in this debate does not seem promising to the author.

Korotayev *et al.* aptly note: “Currently, the main source for the reconstruction of the most ancient history of humankind is archeology, which almost by definition makes it possible to restore only just a few elements of the most ancient human culture (naturally, almost exclusively – material culture)”, while “A mere introduction of comparative linguistic data makes it possible to significantly refine our reconstruction of a respective culture”²⁰.

It is, first of all, about the reconstruction of the corresponding proto-language terms, relying on methodologically correct and technically qualified comparison of the related words in the “daughter” languages. In particular, the somewhat scholastic dispute about the definition of war in the prehistoric context can be resolved by reference to the perception of war by the prehistoric people themselves, reflected in the reconstructed proto-languages they once spoke – or, to put it more cautiously, in models reconstructed with varying degrees of approximation to the living languages they spoke. Despite all the objective difficulties and nuances of translation in all languages, both ancient extinct and living, the term “war” is usually distinguished from the terms “struggle”, “skirmish”, “plunder”, “raid”, and others located in the same semantic field. If in a representative selection of the daughter languages, related words have the meaning of “war” specifically (and it can be justified that they are all inherited from the proto-language rather than borrowed later), it is highly unlikely that a proto-language term with a different meaning – say, “fight” – in different descendant languages, independently of each other, changed its meaning to “war”, so that in the proto-language the corresponding reconstructed word could mean something other than “war” in the meaning of that term, which was once associated with it by the speakers of the proto-language.

By reconstructing the proto-language term, we can, with the help of glottochronology, attribute its use to a certain period in absolute, albeit approximate, time; optimally, also to a specific space, if this proto-language can be identified with a specific region and a specific archaeological culture.

Speaking of Afrasian: it is one of the most (if not the most) practically unanimously accepted by the academic community linguistic macro families²¹. The author’s genealogical classification, based on lexicostatistics, and the chronology of language branching, based on the glottochronological method of Starostin, are as follows (dates indicate the time²² prior to the division of the respective proto-language into subsidiary dialects):

1. Proto-Afrasian (PAA) – 10,500

1.1. North Afrasian (NAA): Semitic, Egyptian, Berber, Chadic (SEBCh) – 9000

1.1.1. Semitic – 4500

¹⁶ Otterbein 2004: 71.

¹⁷ Haas, Piscitelli 2013.

¹⁸ Haas 1996: 1357, cited in Johnson, Earle 2017: 34.

¹⁹ Ibid.

²⁰ Korotayev *et al.*: 287.

²¹ There is no consensus on the other proposed macrofamilies – Nostratic, Sino-Dene-Caucasian, Austric, Amerindian, etc. – and a sceptical attitude towards them clearly prevails. The author belongs to The Moscow School of Comparative Linguistics and thus the reality of at least the first two macrofamilies for him is a working part of the world linguistic panorama.

²² All the dates given for the division of languages are BCE.; all of them are, of course, approximate.

- 1.1.1.1. South Semitic (modern South Arabian: Mehri, Harsusi, Jibbali, Hobyot, Soqotri) – 700
- 1.1.1.2. North Semitic – 3900
 - 1.1.1.2.1. Akkadian
 - 1.1.1.2.2. Central Semitic – 3000
 - 1.1.1.2.2.1. Ethiosemitic – 900
 - 1.1.1.2.2.2. Arabic – 100
 - 1.1.1.2.2.3. Levantine (= West Semitic) – 2300
 - 1.1.1.2.2.3.1. North Levantine (Ugaritic) – 1400–1300
 - 1.1.1.2.2.3.2. South Levantine – 1900
 - 1.1.1.2.2.3.2.1. Southeast Levantine – 1400–1300
 - 1.1.1.2.2.3.2.1.1. South Arabian Epigraphic (Sabaeen)
 - 1.1.1.2.2.3.2.1.2. Aramaic – 1000–900
 - 1.1.1.2.2.3.2.2. Southwest Levantine (Canaanite: Phoenician, Hebrew) – 1500–1400
- 1.1.2. North Afrasian African (NAAfr): Egyptian, Berber-Canarian, Chadic (EBCh) – 7800
 - 1.1.2.1. Egyptian
 - 1.1.2.2. Berber-Chadic – 6500
 - 1.1.2.2.1. Berber-Canarian – 3rd mill. (?)
 - 1.1.2.2.1.1. Berber – 1100–1000
 - 1.1.2.2.2. Chadic – 5500
 - 1.1.2.2.2.1. West Chadic (including Hausa) – 4800
 - 1.1.2.2.2.2. Central Chadic – 4700
 - 1.1.2.2.2.3. Eastern Chadic – 4500
- 1.2. South Afrasian (SAA): Cushitic-OmotiC – 8800
 - 1.2.1. Cushitic – 7500
 - 1.2.1.1. North Central Cushitic – 6800
 - 1.2.1.1.1. North Cushitic: Beja (Bedaulye)
 - 1.2.1.1.2. Central Cushitic (Agaw) – 1900
 - 1.2.1.2. East Cushitic (including Yaaku-Mogogodo) – 6000
 - 1.2.1.3. South Cushitic (including Ma'a and Dahalo) – 4800
 - 1.2.2. OmotiC – 6000
 - 1.2.2.1. North OmotiC (including Dizi and Mao) – 4200
 - 1.2.2.2. South OmotiC (including Ongota) – 4600

The split of the Proto-Afrasian language into North Afrasian and South Afrasian in the mid-11th millennium BCE, which falls on the Late Dryas, according to the author, took place in the Levant, where he identifies PAA speakers with the creators of the Natufian and post-Natufian (Pre-Pottery Neolithic A, PPNA) archaeological cultures. One of the main arguments in favour of the Levantine Urheimat is based on a set of reconstructed PAA terms²³, indicating both intensive gathering of wild cereals and legumes and incipient agriculture, including the cultivation of figs. While the 11th millennium is regarded by modern scholarship as too early a period for farming, one of the plausible scenarios to explain the early agricultural terms may be as follows: the PAA speakers were Natufians; after the split of PAA, the Proto-Cushitic-OmotiC speakers after some time migrated to Africa, while Proto-SEBCh was spoken by the Pre-Pottery Neolithic A makers. The former may have borrowed some agricultural terms from the latter before leaving for Africa (in the 9th or 8th millennium), which accounts for a number of pseudo-PAA but in fact Proto-SEBCh agricultural terms. The speakers of EBCh must have moved to North Africa not earlier than the 7th or 6th millennium, bringing the Neolithic farming and animal husbandry skills with them. By the 8th millennium, both the speakers of EBCh and the

²³ Militarev 2002. The paper included 32 reconstructed terms (see their critical analysis in Starostin G.S. 2017); with the processing of larger and newer lexical data, their number more than doubled.

Proto-Semites, living in the same area, separated not more than 1.5 thousand years before and speaking closely related languages, probably still partially understandable, had mastered the domestication of animals, exchanging cultural innovations and corresponding terminology. Two of the most qualified modern Afrasian linguists, Václav Blažek and Gabor Takács, support the hypothesis of the Western Asian ancestral home of the Proto-Afrasian speakers, and one of the classics of comparative Afrasian linguistics Aharon Dolgopolsky expressed the same point of view. Another of its founders, Igor Diakonoff, put forward serious linguistic arguments in favour of the African Urheimat²⁴, but later, with the introduction and elaboration of new lexical data, in particular, the non-Semitic Afrasian loanwords in Sumerian²⁵, recognized the hypothesis proposed by the author of this article as possible and even likely²⁶. On the contrary, one of the leading Russian comparative linguists Sergei Nikolaev²⁷ considers East Sudan to be the Afrasian Urheimat, based on a set of the Proto-Afrasian zoonyms reconstructed by the author in our joint paper²⁸.

Before proceeding to the lexical material, let us introduce the following rating of the lexemes compared in each taxon of the Afrasian macrofamily: ●●●●● – terms identical in meaning in all (or in a representative majority) of the languages compared, with strictly regular phonetic correspondences²⁹ between them, containing at least three (or two low-frequency/rare) root consonants, fully representative of each of the branches compared; ●●●● – terms clearly comparable semantically³⁰ with regular phonetic correspondences, containing three to two “hard”³¹ root consonants, representing at least one subbranch of a given branch; ●●● – terms comparable semantically and phonetically, containing only one “hard” root consonant, representing groups/subgroups of the branch, no alternative etymology; ●● – terms presumably comparable semantically and phonetically, but under-represented in the languages being compared; ● – terms isolated in their taxon, hypothetically commensurable, brought into comparison for the sake of “aggregate picture”. The rating of any Proto-Afrasian or Proto-North Afrasian root is derived from the rating of at least two constituent parts (branches, groups) of the respective taxon³².

Let us proceed to the material:

²⁴ Diakonoff 1981.

²⁵ Militarev 1995.

²⁶ Diakonoff 1996.

²⁷ The idea of an Afrasian ancestral homeland in Africa has also been put forward by other Africanists, in particular, Christopher Ehret and Roger Blench, but their methods of etymologization and lexical material they base it upon are very weak.

²⁸ Militarev, Nikolayev 2021.

²⁹ This condition applies to consonants; the correspondence between vowels in the Afrasian languages (which play a secondary role in the majority of lexemes) is not strictly established; the reconstructed vocalism in proto-forms of all levels is conventional in most cases. However, it is quite legitimate to consider as related lexemes with vocalism and the base structure even not reducible to a single pattern, but with the same consonantal root composition and comparable meaning, unless each of these lexemes has a better alternative etymology; naturally, this implies a certain degree of hypothetical and tentative nature of the proposed etymologies, which is unavoidable until a comprehensive and well-elaborated Afrasian etymological dictionary is completed with a step-by-step reconstruction at all taxonomic levels.

³⁰ In comparative-historical linguistics – while there is a high demand for strict sound correspondences (in the case of Afrasian languages – in consonantism, see footnote 29), the criteria for semantic comparison are still often based on obviousness and common sense.

³¹ All consonants except *w*, *y*, and *ʔ* (glottal stop) can be considered “hard” in the Afrasian languages.

³² The meanings of the words are given in the European language of the corresponding bilingual dictionary.

WEAPONRY³³

**ma/iṭw-* ~ **may/wṭ-* “mace (including for throwing), club, throwing stick”³⁴ ●●●●

NAA ●●●●●

Sem. **ma/iṭw-* ~ **mayṭ-* “mace, rod, club”: Akk. (OB on) *miṭtu*, *mī/ētu* “mace; a divine weapon”; Ugr. *mṭ* “rod, staff, riding crop; poetic designation of the arrow”; Hbr. *maṭṭā* “staff, rod, scepter, stick”; Arab. *ma/iṭw-* “branche de palmier fendue en deux”; Tgr. *məṭ ʔabālā* “to beat with rods”; Mhr. *mīṭáyn* (**myṭ*) “tree the wood of which is very hard (it is a favorite wood for making sticks and clubs)” ●●●●●

Eg. (OK) *mdw* (<**mṭw*) “Stab, Stock (auch als Waffe)” ●●●●●

Chad. W.: Hs. *múčfāyā*, pl. *mūtāitai* “stirring stick; long pole” ●

SAA ●●●

Cush. E.: LEC: Oromo *muṭuṭé* “Keule, Knüttel, Prügel”, HEC: Sidamo *amāṭṭ-o* “specie di lancia”, *amāṭṭ-o* “arrow” ●●●

Omot. N.: Chara *meyt-ā*, Gimirra (She) *māyṭ* “lance” (< Sidamo?) ●

[] Cf. Takács, 2008, p. 216, 776-9. AADB, # 2454.

**kVs-* “bow” ●●●●●

NAA ●●●●●

Sem. **kaš-t-*/**kaws-* “bow”: Akk. *kaštu*; Ugr. *kšt*; Ph. *kšt*, Hbr. *kāšāt*; Syr. *keštā*, Arab. *kaws-*, Gz. *kast*, Tna. *kāst*, Amh. *kāst*; Jib. *kaṁšč* (<**kaṁs-t?*), Mhr., Soq. *kaṁws* (in [Nakano, 1986] only; < Arab.?) ●●●●●

Eg. (PT) *kīs* “Strick, Band, Fessel”, (MK) *kīs* “string (bow), bind (victim), tie (rope-leather)” // unless < **r* or **l*, *ī* probably conveys the *a* vowel, i.e. to read [*kaš*]; the primary meaning in Eg. likely was “bow-string” ●●●

Chad. **kVs-* “arrow; bow” [Stolbova, 2016, #530] // Cf. **kVs-* “war” [ibid., #527], likely related ●●●●●

SAA ●●●●●

Cush. C.: Bilin *kis-t-*; E.: LEC: Somali *kaanso*, Boni *’ááse* “bow”, (?) Dullay: Gollango *kaaš-ankó* “Schild”; S.: Qwadza *kaša-mato* “bow” ●●●●●

[] AADB, # 531.

**dVg-* “arrow and bow” ●●

NAA ●●●●

Sem.: (?) Arab. *duḡy-at-* “morceau de cuir noir dont on entoure le bout de l’arc; ganse en cuir à l’aide de laquelle on accroche l’arc”; Gz. *dagān*, *dogān*, *digān*, Harari *dīgān* “bow”, Amh. *dāgan*, Gur. **dāgan* “carding bow” ●●●

Berb.: Wargla *degg*, *dagga* “combattre, faire la guerre”, *dugg* “frapper avec une pointe, assassiner”, Ghat *eddeḡ* “piquer”, Ahaggar *edeḡ* “piquer, percer; donner des élanement à” ●●●●

Chad. (1) **dVg-* “arrow”: W.: Ngizim *dāgā*; C.: Logone *dagi*; E.: Tobanga *doge* “lancer”; (2) **dVḡg-* (met.) “bow” (cf. [Stolbova, 2016, #138]) ●●●●●

SAA ●

Cush. E.: HEC: Sidamo *dogá* “arrow, bow” ●

Omot.: Ari *doṅgi* “arrow” ●

[] AADB, # 546.

³³ Although some of the weaponry terminology may also refer to hunting, the presence of a term for shield seems to indicate only an armed conflict.

³⁴ There is an apparent association with the palm tree, cf.: Arab., Mhr. and Cush. E.: LEC: Oromo *meṭṭii* “palm tree”, Somali *maydo* “Phoenix reclinata, the wild date palm”, Dasenech *meṭṭe* “palm tree”, HEC: Darasa *meṭṭe*, Burji *mayče*, Dullay: Golango *mayta* “palm tree”; Omot. **mi(n)t-* “tree (gen.)”.

**dayVw-* ~ **wVdy-* “arrow” ●●●³⁵

NAA ●●

Sem.: Hbr. *ydy* “to shoot³⁶, cast (lots, stones)”; Arab. *wdy* II “ê. armé jusqu’aux dents” ●●

Chad. **diw-*: W.: Tangale *diya* “knife”, Jimi *dîwà* “arrow”; E.: Tumak *dəw* “dart” ●●●

SAA ●●●

Cush.: E.: LEC: Bayso *dawwe*, HEC: Burji *daaya*; S.: Iraqw *daʔa-* “bamboo-cane quiver”, Ma^a *ndaʔaté* “quiver”; Dahalo *ʔaado* (met.) “arrow head for small animals” ●●●

[] AADB, # 585.

**fayaʔ-* “(sharp) edge, arrow” ●●●

NAA ●●

Sem. **payʔ(-at)-*: Akk. *pātu* “Rand”; Ugr. *pʔ-t* “limit, border, (?) corner”; Hbr. *pēʔā* “corner, side”, *pʔy* (hif.) “to strike down, wipe out”; Syr. *paʔtā* “side, blade of a sword”, Arab. *fʔy/w* “fendre, pourfendre d’un coup de sabre la tête de qqn.”; cf. *fiʔ-at-* “detachment, party of soldiers”; Gur.: Ennemor, Endegeñ *feʔā* “sharpen with a rasp, sharpen the edge” ●●

Chad. **fay(H)-*: W.: Tangale *peyi* “shoot, sting”; C.: Mofu *fáf, féf* (redupl.) “pierce with a spear”; E.: Kera *féyá* “prick” ●●

SAA

Cush.: E.: Oromo *fia, fue* “arrow”; S.: Alagwa, Burunge *fayu* “arrow” ●●●●

[] AADB, # 513; cf. [Orel, Stolbova, 1994, #788].

**rumḥ-* (var. **runḥ-*) ~ **mVrḥ-* “spear, lance” ●●●●●

NAA ●●●●●

Sem. **rumḥ-* “spear”: Ugr. *mrḥ*; Hbr. *romah*; Syr. *rumḥ-*; Arab. *rumḥ-*; Gz. *ra/əmh*; Mhr. *rəmhāt* “spear, wand”, Jib. *rəmh-át* “wand, arrow” ●●●●●

Eg. (late NK) *mrḥ* “lance” (< Ugr.?) ●

Berb.: Sus *ta-mūr-t* “spear, lance” (isolated word) ●

Chad. W. **rVn/m(H)-*: Hs. *rinoo* “wooden skewer, spit”, Karekare, Bolewa *róndi* “spear” (if < **rVn-di*), Bade *rúum-án* “Kriegsranze” (cf. [Stolbova, 2005, #947]) ●●●

SAA ●●●●●

Cush. **warḥan/m-*: C.: Awiya *werém* “spear”; E.: LEC: Oromo *woraan-a*, Som. *waran* “spear”, Rendille *warḥan* “knife”; Dullay: Dobase, Gobeze, Harso *orḥan-ko*, pl. *orḥamme* “spear, lance.” ●●●●●

[] Cf. Takács, 2008, p. 437-8; Sasse, 1978, p. 37.

**kVlaʃ-* “sling” ●●●●³⁷

NAA ●●●●

Sem. **ki/ulaʃ-* “sling”: Ugr. *kʃ* “shield, shield-bearer”; Ph. *h-kʃ* “slinger(?)”, Hbr. *kālaʃ*

³⁵ The meanings “shoot” in Hebrew, “arrow” and “dart” in the two Chadic branches, “arrow head” in Dahalo, and the easily imaginable transition from “arrow” to “quiver” in the other Cushitic examples – all make the reconstruction of the meaning “arrow” in PAA very likely. It is worse with phonetics – one “hard” consonant *d*, which is why the author rated this root as “three”. The same applies to the mediocre root **fayaʔ-* “(sharp) edge, arrow”.

³⁶ It is “to shoot a bow” (cf. Jeremiah 50:14). Regarding the meaning shift from “shoot an arrow” to “cast a lot”, cf. a similar transition in Arabic: *sahm-* “arrow” and the verb *sahama* “to cast lots” or *kidh-* “unfledged arrow” and “arrow that is cast as a lot”.

³⁷ The Ugaritic *kʃ* “shield” is obviously related to this root – how the transition from “sling” to “shield” occurred is a matter for experts in ancient Near Eastern weapons. The Chadic verbs “to throw stones”, “to strike on the head”, “to throw with intention to kill” echo the meaning “to hit or kill with a stone from a sling”, as are Beja “strap” (as part of a belted sling) and “distance to which stick can be thrown” (throwing stick?); the shift of the meaning “to bow” in Sidamo is also not difficult. The somewhat risky comparison of different meanings is supported by regular correspondences: a common etymology in this case is much more likely than chance coincidence.

“sling”, *kɪʃ* “to sling (stones)”; Syr. *kelʃ*- “sling”; Arab. *kulāʃ-at*- “pierre que l’on enlève du sol pour la lancer”, *maḵlāʃ*- “sling”; Gz. *ḵəʃa* “throw from a sling”, *maḵləʃ* “sling; club” (cf. Jib. *ḵəʃaʃ*, Soq. *ḵāʃaʃ* “to drop, let fall”) ●●●●●

(?) Eg. (19th Dyn.) *krʃ.w* “shield” (likely <**kɪʃ*; < Ugr.?) ●

Chad. **kawVI*- > *ḵʷal*- “to throw (with force)”: W.: Hs. *ḵʷaalà* “throw to the ground, strike (on the head)”, Tangale *kʷalə* “to kill, to throw (with an intention to kill)”; C.: Chuvok *mékélèy* “throw stones”, etc. [Stolbova, 2016, #497; comp. to Gz.] ●●●

SAA

Cush. N.: Beja *kili*, *keli* “strap, thong”, *kolei* “stick; distance to which stick can be thrown” (!) [Roper, 1928, p. 202]; E.: HEC: Sidamo *ḵale* “bow” ●●●

[] AADB, # 4172.

**gawb*- “guard, shield” ●●●●●

NAA ●●●●●

Sem.: Akk. *gabābu* (and *kabābu*) “shield”; Hbr. *gab* “bosses of a shield” (in Köhler, Baumgartner, 1994–1996, the entry for “back”); Sab. *gyb* “defend, protect”; Arab. *ḵawb*- “bouclier”; Mhr. *gawb*, Jib. *gub* “shield of hippopotamus hide” (hardly < Arab.) ●●●●●

(?) Chad. W.: Zaar *ngúp* “bow” ●

SAA ●●●●●

Cush. **gaw(i)b*- “shield”: N.: Beja *gwibe*; C.: Bilin, Qwara *gib*; E.: SA: Afar *gob*, Saho *goob-e* “shield”, LEC: Oromo (dial.) *goob-oo* “bow”, Somali *goob* “battle”, HEC: Darasa *gabe* “bow and arrow”, Burji *góob-i* “brave man, warrior” (LEC and HEC with a shift of meaning); S. **gamb*- “shield”: Iraqw *gaambóot*, Gorowa *gaambóo*³⁸ ●●●●●

[] AADB # 2090.

*(*wa*)*rik/g*- “bow with arrow” ●●

NAA ●●●

Sem.: Akk. (SB) *arīktu* “spear”, “bow?”; Arab *wirk*- “un côté de l’arc; un arc (sp.)” ●●

Eg. (PT) *rwḏ.t* (< **rwg*-t < **wrg*-t?) “bow-string” (also “vein, tendon”) ●●

Chad. (1) *(*wV*)*rik*- “bow”: W. **ri(n)k*-: Karekare, Ngamo *rínká*, Gera *ríkà*, Dera *rákà* “bow”, Tangale *rik* “arrow”; C. *(*wV*)*lik*- (< **-rik*-) “bow”; (2) C. **ri/ag*- “bow”: Higi *rigi*, etc.; (2a) W. **rVg*- “to hunt (with a bow or spear)”: Tangale *riga* ●●●●●

SAA

Cush. E.: HEC **wVrag*-: Kambatta *uragä*, Hadiya *urāgo* “first shot of hunters that kills an animal” ●●

[] Cf. Stolbova, 2005, #844 (**n-rV[k]V*; comp. to Arab.) and 810 (**rVg*- < **rV**k*-?); AADB, # 454. Cf. Indo-European **ark* “bend, bow”.

**ʃVbVI*- “arrow or spear (with a flint head?)” ●●

NAA ●●●●●

Sem.: Akk. (NAss, SB) *bēlu* “weapon” (< **bVʃl*-, met. < **ʃVbVI*-?); Arab. *ʃablāʔ*- “white rock”, *ʃbl* “garnir une flèche d’un fer long et large”³⁹, *miʃbal-at*- “flèche au fer long et large”; Gz. *māʃəbal(t)* “arrow, weapon, spear” (also “tool, instrument, fittings”); MSA **ʃaybal*- “sharp flint stone” (with the diminutive infix -ay-?); Mhr. *ʔaybál*, Jib. *ʃayél* “flint, flintstone”, Soq. pl. *ʃébbalét-en* “sharp stones” ●●●●●

Eg. (PT) *mʃb* “lance, spear; harpune”⁴⁰. ●●●●●

Chad. **ba/iHVI*-: W.: Hs. *ḵillà* “to throw, Karekare *ḵèlu*, Tangale *ḵəl* “arrow”, Fyer, Bokkos, Daffo-Butura *ḵol* “schiesen”; C.: Muktele *áḵal* “tirer (a l’arc), flecher”, Daba *ḵāl*

³⁸ It is less likely that these words are borrowed from Datoga, as suggested in *Kiessling, Mous* 2003: 113.

³⁹ Likely the meaning shift from “to equip an arrow with a flint arrow head”.

⁴⁰ Cf. Eg. (PT) *ʃb* “Opferstein”, possibly referring to flint.

“to throw (an arrow)”; E.: Sarwa *bálāw*, Gadang *bālū* “lance de guerre” ●●●●

SAA

Cush. E.: LEC: Oromo *ablee* (and *albee*) “knife”, HEC: Sidamo *bolot-iččo* “easily broken rock” ●

[] AADB, # 2309; cf. Takács, 2008, p. 174-5 (with extensive discussion).

**gayê*- “kind of throwing weapon” ●●●

NAA

Sem.: Arab. *ǧašǧ/w-* “javeline, arc”, *ǧaššāǧ-* “arc fait d’un bois gros et dur”; Gur. **gašša* “k. of spear”⁴¹ ●●●

Berb. **ga(n)z-ay-* (<**ga(n)c/ê-* or **ga(n)z/ǧ-*) “bow”: Zenaga *tagāǧad* “arc, archet” (<**ta-gaǧ-at*); Ayr. E. Wlm. *tə-ganzē*, pl. *tī-ganziw-en* “arc, arceau”, Ahaggar *tā-ǧaǧhē* “arc (pour lancer des flèches)” ●●●●

SAA

Cush.: N.: Beja *gwišǧ-* “to cast to a distance, esp. lance, spear” [Roper, 1928, p. 189] ●●●

[] Cf. Dolgopolsky, 1973, p. 291-2; AADB, # 573. Cf. Greek *gaisos* “javelin” and Basque *gesi*, *gezi*, *geza* “arrow, dart”.

ARMED CONFLICTS AND WARFARE

PAA **lVhm-* “fight, war” ●●●●

NAA ●●●●

Sem. **lhm* “to fight”, **mi/a-lham-* “war”: Ugr. *lhm* “to fight (against someone)”, *mlhm* “war”; Hbr. *lhm* “to fight”, *milhāmā* “war”; Aram. *mlhm* “war”; Arab. *lhm* “tuer”, *lahm-at-* “combat acharné corps à corps”, *malham-at-* “guerre” ●●●●●

Eg. (Demotic) *mlh*, Coptic *mlax* “combat, Streit, Kampf” (< Hbr.?) ●

Chad. C. **li(H)m-*: Hitkalanchi *lāmò* “fight, war”, Hide *lmo* “fight, to fight”, Daba *lim* “war”, Logone *lümlüm* “resist, defend oneself” [Stolbova, 2005, #285] ●●●●

SAA

Cush. C.: Beja *lémi* “Fang, Raub”, *lemi* “ganz ausplündern” [Reinisch, 1885]; C.: Bilin *lāmlām* “kämpfen”, etc. [Reinisch, 1887] ●●●●

[] Cf. Takács, 2008, p. 317; AADB, # 1546.

PAA **sabay-* “spoils of war, taking prisoners” ●●●●●

NAA ●●●●●

Sem. **šabayǧ-* “captive”: Ugr. *šby* “captive”; Hbr. *šābā* “capture in the course of a battle, deport”; Aram. Off. *šby*, Syr. *šābāǧ-*; Sab. *s,by* “captive”, *s,bǧ* “carry out an undertaking (e.g., military expedition, diplomatic mission, etc.)”; Arab. *sby* “faire prisonnier”, *saby-* “prisonnier”; Mhr. *sebū*, Jib. *sē* “to capture, take prisoner”, Soq. *sébāǧ* “to seize” ●●●●●

Eg (OK) *sby* “Rebell, Frevler”, (MK) “spoils of army” ●●●●●

Chad. W.: Hs. *sábābii* “wrangling; violent dispute” // Cf. *(*ǧa*)*sVb-* “spear” [Stolbova, 2016, #678, derived noun] ●

SAA

Cush. C.: Bilin *šab-* “go on the prowl”, *šabā* “war”, Qwara *sab-* “wage war, riot”, Qemant *sāb-s*, Awiya *seb* “to fight”; E.: SA: Saho *sabā* “attack” ●●●●●

PAA *(*wa*)*čVb-* “assault, (mass) killing” ●●●

NAA ●●●●

⁴¹ Presumably, the archaic PAA meaning is preserved in Arabic and Gurage, which developed as early as at the Proto-Semitic level into **gayš-* “(armed) detachment, gang” with the same composition of “hard” consonants: Aram. Jud. *gayyāsā* “troupe, esp. ravaging troop, invaders, raiders”, Syr. *gayṣā* “troupe, troupe de brigands”; Sab. *gys2* “unit, detachment”; Arab. *ǧayṣ-* “armée, surtout grande et complete”; Tgr. *gayṣ* “robbing excursion, booty”; Jib. *šā-gēš* “collect (tribe for battle, etc.)”.

Sem. **wlb* “to assault (with abduction?)”⁴²: Sab. *t-wlb* “commit an assault on; ambush”; Arab. *waṭb-at-* “assaut, attaque, agression”, *wlb* V “faire une invasion injuste sur la propriété de qqn” ●●●

Chad. **čVb-* “mass killing; war” (Stolbova 2016, #91, comp. with Arab.): C.: Wandala, Malgwa *čəba* “to kill a lot of people”, Glavda *čib-*, Podoko *čibé* “kill” (pl.); E.: Mubi *čóbbù*, Zirenkel *žabu-ki* “war” ●●●●●

SAA

Omot. N.: Koyra *šúpe*, Gimirra (Bench) *šup* (*p* < **b*?) “slaughter”, Dizi *šub-* “die”, S.: Ongota *šup/b-* “kill” ●●●

[] AADB, # 2933.

NAA **dVr?* “war, fight” ●●●●

Sem.: Akk. *dirdirru* (redupl.) “battle, combat”; Syr. *darā* “certamen; pugna; bellum”; Sab. *dr?* “make a sudden assault on”; Arab. *dar?* “invasion subit” ●●●●

Chad. **dur-* “fight, war” (Stolbova 2016, #159, comp. with Akk.): W.: Angas *tuur* (*t-* < **d-*) “fight”; C.: Mbara *dùr*, E.: Kera *dùuri* “war” ●●●●

[] AADB, # 4236.

NAA **čVg-* “fight, killing” ●●

Sem. (*ʔa-*)*šVgag-*: Akk. (MB, SB) *ašgagu*, *ašgugu* “battle, fray”; Arab *šǧǧ* “blesser, casser, briser (tête, crâne)” ●●

Chad. **ša/ig-* “to fight and kill” (Stolbova 2016, #842; comp. with Arab.): W.: Tangale *saage* “to fight”; C.: Tera *layà*, Lamang *ligo*, Muyang *éḡigì* “kill” ●●●

NAA **čVg(w)Vr-*⁴³ “fight, battle” ●●●

Sem. **šVg(w)Vr-* “fighter, guard”: Arab. *šǧr* “percer avec une lance”, VI “se disputer, lutter”, *šaǧīr-* “sabre”; Gz. *šagara* “become a soldier”, *šagar* “keeper of the instrument of torture, guard, soldier of the guard, garrison”, Tna *šāg^wari* “prison keeper”, Amh. *sāggārā* “be taught drill” ●●●

Chad. **šVgVr-* “(to provoke) a battle”: C.: Podoko *ṭagála* (regressive assim. of *-r-*) “wrestling”, Musey *ṭagara* “la ceinture de cuir tissé portée dans une bataille”; E.: W. Dangla *čògìrè* “to provoke, to incite a battle”. ●●●

[] AADB, # 4210. Cf. Stolbova, 2016, #842a (comp. with Arab.).

PAA **ʕawl-* ~ *ʕalw/y-* “assault, plunder, war” ●●●●

NAA ●●●●

Sem.: Ugr. *ʕly* “to attack, assail, launch oneself (upon); to fire, shoot (arrows)”; Sab. *h-ʕly* “destroy, violate, infringe (and remove)”; Arab. *ʕlw* “frapper qqn. avec un sabre”, *ʕilway* “ennemi” ●●●●

Eg. (OK) *ʕhʕ* “(to) fight”⁴⁴, *ʕwʕy* “rauben”, (MK) *ʕwn* “to hurt, plunder, despoil (of), betray” (the graphic alternation of *ʕ* and *n* points to the etymological **l*) ●●●●

Chad. **n-[ʕ]al-* “to plunder, steal” (derived verb in *n-*): C.: Buwal *ḡxel* “steal; thief”, Gavar *ḡhəl* “steal; thief”; E.: Kera *náalé* “plündern” (Stolbova, 2019, #52 compared to Eg. *ʕwʕy*) ●●●

SAA ●●●●

Cush. **ʕawl-* “war, use of a weapon”: E.: LEC: Somali *ʕol* “army; enemy”, *ʕolad* (< **ʕol-*

⁴² Perhaps the original meaning is a raid to abduct women, cf.: Syr. *ʔawteb* ‘marry’; Gz. *ʔawsaba*, Tna. *ʔawäsäbä* ‘take a wife, marry’, Amh. (*a*)*wässäbä* ‘copulate’ and Gz. *sabsaba* ‘marry off (a son or a daughter in a religious ceremony)’. Another meaning of **wtb* in Proto-Semitic – “sit, settle, reside” – is possibly secondary from “abduct (really or ritually) a woman and have a separate dwelling”.

⁴³ Probably from **čVg-* with a “frozen” suffix *-r*.

⁴⁴ According to G. Takács (Takács, 1999, p. 280), dissimilation from **ʕl*.

at) “hostility, war”, Rendille *höl* “quarrel, argument (involving physical argument; war”, Boni *ól* “war, quarrel”, Oromo *lola* (redupl.), Gidole *ola-ta*, Bayso *ola* “war”, Elmolo *is-olol* “to quarrel”, HEC: Sidamo *ol-* “throw a lance”, *ola*, Kambatta *ola* “battle, war”, Hadiya *ora* (-r- < *l) “war, fight”; S.: Dahalo *śáála* “bow” ●●●●●

Omot. N. **śaw/y-* “fight, war”: Wolaita *ola* “war”, *olet-* “to fight”, Zala *olaa* “war”, *ol-* “to fight, be at war”, Mao (Bambeshi) *yol-*, (Diddesa) *yoli* “fight” (borrowing from E. Cush. is not to be ruled out) ●●●

[] AADB, # 3524, 1110. Cf. Dolgopolsky. 1973, p. 162. This root is hard to distinguish⁴⁵ (contaminated or related on the PSem. or NAA level?) from Sem. (or NAA) **śawl-* ~ **śalw-* ~ **śily-* “injustice, sin, wrong, rebel”: Akk. *ešiltu* “sin”; Hbr. *śwl* (pi.) “to act unjustly”, *śāwāl* “perversity, injustice; dishonesty (in trade)”, *śawwāl* “criminal, sinner”, *śawlā* (and *śalwā*) “badness, malice, injustice”; *śalwā* “disobedience”; Aram. Jud. *śawl-* “sin”, Syr. *śwl* (af.) “to act unjustly”, *śely-* “malice, wrong”; Arab. *śwl* “to deviate (from what is right)”, *śalaw-* “rebel”; Gz. *śalawa* “deal treacherously, conspire, pervert, rebel”, Tna. *śalāwā* “rebel”, Tgr. (*tā*)*śalla* “start a riot”.

PAA **bVr-* ~ **bVrbVr-* “war, riot, plunder” ●●●●●

NAA ●●●●●

Sem. **brr* ~ **brbr* “to revolt, attack, plunder”: Akk. *bāru* (OB on) “stir up a revolt”; Neo-Syr. *barber* “attack, assail”; Sab. *brr* “make a sally, come into the open (to fight)”; Arab. *brr* VIII “dépouiller, priver”, *bry* “rivalizer, s’acharner contre”; Gz. *barbara* “pillage, plunder, rummage, confiscate”, Tna. *bārbārā*, Tgr. *bārbāra*, Amh. *bārābbārā*, Gur. **bārābbārā* “pillage” ●●●●●

Berb. S. **bubbar* “kind of fight”: Ahaggar *ā-bubbār* “lutte (entre 2 adversaires, sans armes, corps à corps)”, E. Wlm. *ā-bobbar* “discussion chaude et menaçante entre deux groupes de pers.; manifestation de violence” ●●

Chad. **bV(ʔ/wV)r-* **ba/uʔir-* “fighting, rebellion” (Stolbova, 2016, #21): W.: Hausa *bòoree* “perversity, disobedience, rebelling against authority”, Mushere *bèer* “war, fight”, Pero *burre* “fighting”, Sha *bur*, Richa *būr* “Krieg”, Daffo-Butura *búur* “Krieg, Kampf”; C.: Muyong *àbrá* “armed robbery”, Peve *bar* “rebel” ●●●●●

SAA

Cush. **bar(-at)*: C.: Bilin *barat* “erobren, in Besitz nehmen”; E.: SA: Afar *boore* “oppress; ransack”, (?) Yaaku *pórté*, pl. *pórri* “enemy” (*p-* can continue **p-* and **b-*); S.: Dahalo *ᵐbóri* “war” ●●●●●

[] AADB, # 3764.

PAA **gVd-* “troop of archers”⁴⁶ ●●●

(1)“(armed) band, troop” ●●●●

NAA “(aggressive, hostile) armed band, troop; ambush” ●●●●

Sem. **gu(n)d-*: Akk. (Ass.) *gudūd-* “band” (< W. Sem.?), Hbr. *gdd* “to band together (against)”, *gādūd* “band, raid, troop of warriors” (cf. *gad* “tribe”); Syr. *gūdādā* “legion, troop”, Mandaic *gunda*; Arab. *ǧund-* “troupe d’hommes”, *ǧnd* II “to mobilize”, Tgr. *gādo* “brigand” ●●●●●

Berb. N.: Shilh *a-gdud* “bande, troupe de gens réuni en group”, Tamazight *a-gdud* “assemblée, groupe bruyant”, Qabyle *a-gdad* “groupe bruyant, qui parle fort” ●●●

Chad.: W.: Hausa *gádè* “rude, disrespectful speech, manners”, Bolewa *ngadār* “quarrelsome person”; E.: W. & E. Dangla *gídé* “to quarrel” (Stolbova 2011 #484) ●●

SAA “ambush” ●●●●●

⁴⁵ For example, the author still hesitates about which of the two roots the terms referring to “rebel, riot” should have been included into – cf. a similar problem with Hs. *bòoree* “perversity, disobedience, rebelling against authority” in **bVr-* ~ **bVrbVr-* “assail, riot, pillage, war”.

⁴⁶ The unifying reconstructed meaning is hypothetical.

Cush.: E.: HEC: Somali *gad-* “make a surprise attack on; ambush”; S.: Iraqw, Alagwa *giir*, Burunge *giid* “lie in ambush” ●●●●●

(2) “arrow” ●●●

NAA

Chad.: C. **gVd-* “(point of a) sharp arrow”: Daba *gàdàd* “arrow, point of the arrow”, Cuvok *gàdà* “douille, bâton guerre”, **g/g^wVd-Vm-* “arrow”: Hide *gwadam* “sagai, hunting spear with one barb”, Mada *godom*, Mbuko *gadam* “arrow” (Stolbova 2011 #497) ●●●

SAA

Cush. **gayd-*: N.: Beja *gid* “to throw, to fire”; E.: LEC: Arbore *gude* “arrow” ●●●●

[] AADB, # 3855.

PAA **gdl* “armed fight, killing”⁴⁷ ●●●

NAA ●●●

Sem. **gdl* “fight, kill”: Arab. *ḡdl* III, VI “quarrel, fight”; Gz. *gadala* “strive”, Tna. *tāgadälä* “fight”, Tgr. *gadäla* “wrestle, fight”, *gädla* “conflict”, Amh. *gäddälä* “kill”, *tāgaddälä* “struggle”, Arg. *gäddäla*, Har. *gädälä* kill”, Soddo *gäddäläm* “kill”, *tāgaddälä* “wrestle, struggle” ●●●

Berb. S. **gadil* “javelin”: Ahaggar *a-ḡdel*, Ayr. *é-gdel*, E. Wlm. *a-gdel* “javelot à tige de bois” (cf. Ayr, E. Wlm. *tə-gadle* “stratagème, ruse de guerre, plan de défense”) ●●●

SAA

Cush. E.: LEC **lagad-* (met.): Somali *legd-* “to wrestle, throw down”, Jidda

legdi, Bayso *lagad-* “to kill” ●●●

[] AADB, # 2765.

PAA **gVr-* “hostilities, war” ●●●●

NAA ●●●●●

Sem. **gry* ~ **gwr* “hostilities, war, lawsuit”: Akk. *gerû* (OB on) “wage war; to be hostile, start a lawsuit”, D “to open up hostilities, make war”; Ugr. *gr(y)* “to attack; to oppose (?)”; Hbr. *gry* (piel) “to stir up a strife, go to court”; Official Aram. *gry* “to sue, institute suit against”, Syr. *gry* (paël) “be persecuted”, (etpa) “be attacked”; Arab. *ḡwr* “ê. injuste, commetre un injustice à l’égard de qqn; opprimer, agir en tyran”; Tgr. *gərgur* “war-cry”, Tna. *(ʔa)g^wrärä*, Amh. *(a)gärrärä* “sing a war song”; Mhr. *ḡayör*, Jib. *ger* “to oppress” ●●●●●

Eg. (PT) *dʒy* (if < **gry*) “sich wiedersetzen (act hostile, oppose)”, *mdʒ.w* (if < **m-gr-w*) “Widersacher”, cf. *dʒdʒ* “be hostile” (Takács 2008: 821) ●●●

Berb. Ahaggar *guret* “disputer”, Qabyle *egru* “be enraged” ●●

Chad. **gVr-* “war, fight” (Stolbova 2016, #237; comp. with Akk. and debatable Arab.): W Paa *gwür-sáa* “wrestling”, Bade *gòorai* “rebellion”, Duwai *gèr-bùwo* “go into a fight”; C.: Bura *nggara* “to lead a person into rebellion”, Dzepaw *gir* “struggle”; E.: E. Dangla *gār-tā* “la guerre” ●●●●●

SAA

Cush.: N.: Beja *gwirir* “to keep one’s eye on (in hostile fashion)”; C.: Bilin *gurgur* “berauben”; E.: SA: Afar *gaaroowe* “debate, argue, dispute a case”, LEC: Somali *gerar*, Oromo *gērara* “war-song”⁴⁸, HEC: Sidamo *gaaro* “war, campaign” (cf. Hadiya, Kambatta *gora* “act of doing smth. to spite so.”) ●●●●

[] AADB, # 3717; cf. Takács 2008: 821-2.

⁴⁷ Possibly from **gVd-* with a “frozen” suffix *-l*.

⁴⁸ The inter-borrowing between Amharic (where from in Tigre and Tigrinya) and Oromo (where from in Somali) is quite likely, but as both lexemes have a solid etymology – one in Semitic, the other in Cushitic – an independent development from PAA of the term “war” (with a secondary mutual influence on the meaning?) is not to be ruled out either.

PAA **gihaʒ*- “fight, raid” ●●

NAA ●●●●

Sem. **gahz*- “raid, battle”: Arab. *ǧhz* “expédier, envoyer des troupes équipées à qqn, ou contre qqn”, *taǧhīz*- “expédition, envoi de troupes”; Har., Selti, Endegeñ *gāz*, Wolane, Soddo, Chaha, etc. *gaz* “raid, expedition, battle” ●●●●

Chad. **gVʒ*- “fight, war; be hostile” (cf. Stolbova 2011, #529; compared to Arab. *ṣaǧūz*- “malheur, désastre, guerre”): W.: Guruntum *gezu* “to fight”; C.: Daba *ngəz* “threaten”, Ouldem *ngiz ngiz* “be quarrelsome”; E.: Ubi *geza* “guerre, war” ●●●●

SAA

Cush.: E. **ga(Ha)ʒ/ǰ*:- LEC: Oromo *gaad*- “plot against, plan to destroy, spy on”, HEC: Hadiya *gaaz*- “wage war, raid”, Sidamo *gaado*, Kambatta *gaazu* “war, campaign”, Tembaro *gaǰǰe* “raid” (cf. Burji *gaazé* “shield”) ●●⁴⁹

[] AADB, # 3786.

PAA **mVr*- “rob (in a fight), dispossess” ●●●●

NAA ●●●●

Sem. **mry* “to fight, be rebellious”: Hbr. *mārā* “to be recalcitrant, rebellious”; Syr. *mārā* “to rival”; Arab. *mry* “to incite”, III “to wrangle”, *miryat*- “querelle, dispute” (cf. also *mry* “nier une dette”) ●●●

Eg. (MK) *mīr* “berauben von..., to dispossess” ●●●●

Chad. **mu/ir*:- W.: Ankwe *mûr* “to thief, theft”, Gerka *mur* “to rob, steel”, Gera, Galambu *mòorə̀*-, Sayanchi *mīr* “to steal”, Tala *muur*, Kir *mwûr*, Bubure *móré* “theft, thief”; C.: Tera *mur* “stealing”; E.: Bidiya *miran* “tricher” ●●●●

SAA

Cush. **mVr*:- N.: Beja *maray* “nehmen, rauben”, *meri/u* “nehmen, erbeuten”, *mára* “Beute, Fund”; C.: Qwara *mir* “rauben, plündern”; E.: HEC: Darasa, Sidamo *moor*- “to steal, rob” ●●●●●

[] Takács, 2008, p. 85; AADB, # 3622.

RECONSTRUCTED PROTOFORMS (WITH THE AUTHOR’S RATING):

WEAPONRY

**ma/iṭw*- ~ **may/wṭ*- “mace (including for throwing), club, throwing stick” PAA 4

**kVs*- “bow” PAA 5

**dVg*- “arrow and bow” NAA 4, SAA 1

**dayVw*- ~ **wVdy*- “arrow” NAA 2, SAA 3, PAA 3

**fayaʔ*- (sharp) edge, arrow” NAA 2, SAA 4, PAA 3

**rumḥ*- (var. **runḥ*-) ~ **mVrḥ*- “spear, lance” NAA 4, SAA 5, PAA 5

**kVlaʕ*- “sling” NAA 4, SAA 3, PAA 4

**gawb*- “shield” PAA 5

**(wa)rik/g*- “bow with arrow” NAA 3, SAA 2, PAA 2

**ʕVbVl*- “arrow or spear (with a flint head?)” NAA 4, SAA 1

**gayċ*- “kind of throwing weapon” NAA 3, SAA 3, PAA 3

ARMED CONFLICTS AND WARFARE

**Vḥm*- “fight, war” NAA 4, SAA 4, PAA 4

**sabay*- “spoils of war, taking prisoners” PAA 5

⁴⁹ The connection with the Southern Ethiopic forms is obvious, but with a clear Semitic correspondence (in Arabic) and a possible Eastern Cushitic correspondence (in Oromo) it is difficult to determine the direction of the likely borrowing.

- **(wa)čVb-* “assault, mass killing” NAA 4, SAA 4, PAA 4
- **dVr?*- “war, fight” NAA 4 (Sem., Chad.)
- **čVg-* “fight, killing” NAA 2
- **čVg(w)Vr-* “fight, battle” NAA 3 (Sem., Chad.)
- **ɣawl-* ~ *ɣalw/y-* “assault, plunder, war” NAA 4, SAA 4
- **bVr-* ~ **bVrbVr-* “war, riot, plunder” 5
- **gVd-* “troop of archers”: (1) NAA “(armed) band, troop” 4, SAA “ambush” 5;
- (2) “arrow” NAA 3, SAA 4
- **gdI* “armed fight, killing” NAA 3, SAA 3, PAA 3
- **gVr-* “hostilities, war” NAA 5, SAA 4, PAA 4-5
- **gihaʒ-* “fight, raid” NAA 4, SAA 2, PAA 2
- **mVr-* “rob (in a fight), dispossess” NAA 4, SAA 5, PAA 4-5

Conclusions:

WEAPONRY

There is no doubt (scored 5) about the terms for bow (**kVs-*) and shield (**gawb-*) at the PAA level; with a high degree of probability (scored 4), the terms are reconstructed for mace (**ma/iṭw-* ~ **may/wṭ-*), spear (**rumḥ-* ~ **mVrh-*), sling (**kVlaʕ-*); at the NAA level – for an arrow or spear, probably with a flint head; the reconstruction of a few more terms for bow with arrow and some kind of throwing weapon is quite likely (score 3). There is nothing unexpected for the prehistorians and archaeologists in this (perhaps, except for an immaculate term for shield). The situation with armed conflicts and war, in particular, is more complicated.

ARMED CONFLICTS AND WARFARE

The following conclusions can be drawn from the reconstructed proto-forms:

Formally, Proto-Afrasian or even Proto-Afrasian and Proto-Afrasian terms, yielding the meaning of “war” in all and every descendant language, are not reconstructed with a 100% credibility (the roots **gVr-* and **bVr-* are closest to this evaluation) – they are all combined either with more or less related meanings (fight, battle, killing) or with words referring to other armed conflicts (assault, plunder, riot). The robust term “spoils of war, taking prisoners” (**sabay-*) is indicative in this context. Several terms for assault, plunder, and armed robbery are reconstructed convincingly. As for war, the reconstructed terms – despite all the difficulties with interpreting the historical reality in this subject – seem to indicate that the concept of war as a large-scale armed conflict, different from its other types, either already had its lexical expression the terms **gVr-* and **bVr-* (note the meaning “war” in such genetically farthest languages as W.Chadic and Dahalo) at the PAA level, or came close to being expressed in words, *ergo*, in the perception of the late Mesolithic man in the Near East around the 11th millennium BCE.

Abbreviations of languages and language periods

Akk. – Akkadian; Amh. – Amharic; Arab. – Arabic; Aram. – Aramaic; Berb. – Berber; C. – Central; Chad. – Chadic; Cush. – Cushitic; E. – East; Wlm. – Tawlllemmet; Eg. – Egyptian; Gur. – Gurage; Gz. – Geʿez; Har. – Harari; Hbr. – Hebrew; HEC – Highland East Cushitic; Jib. – Jibbali; Jud. – Judaic Aramaic; LEC – Lowland East Cushitic; MB – Middle Babylonian; Mhr. – Mehri; MK – Middle Kingdom; MSA – Modern South Arabian; N. – North; OB – Old Babylonian; OK – Old Kingdom; PAA – Proto-Afrasian; S. – South; Sab. – Sabaic; SB – Standard Babylonian; Sem. – Semitic; Soq. – Soqotri; Syr. – Syriac; Tgr. – Tigre; Tna. – Tigrinya (Tigray); Ugr. – Ugaritic; W. – West.

References

- Diakonov, I.M.* Shumery i afraziitsy glazami istorika [Sumerians and Afrians through the eyes of an historian] // *Vestnik Drevney istorii* [Journal of Ancient History]. 1996. No. 4. P. 81–88. (In Russ.)
- Dolgopolsky A.B.* Sravnitel'no-istoricheskaya fonetika kushitskikh iazykov [Comparative historical phonetics of the Cushite languages]. Moskva, 1973. (In Russ.)
- Gamkrelidze T.V., Ivanov V.V.* Indoevropeskii iazyk i indoevropeitsy [Indo-European language and Indo-Europeans]. Tbilisi, 1984. (In Russ.)
- Johnson A., Earle T.* Evoliutsiya chelovecheskikh obshchestv [Evolution of human societies]. Moskva, 2017. (In Russ.)
- Militarev A.Yu.* Shumery i afraziitsy [Sumerians and Afrians] // *Vestnik drevnei istorii* [Journal of Ancient History]. 1995. No. 2. P. 113–127. (In Russ.)
- Militarev A.Yu.* Etimologiya i leksicheskaya rekonstruktsiya dlia drevnei i drevneishei istorii blizhnemostokno-severnoafrikanско-sredizemnomorskogo areala [Etymology and lexical reconstruction for the ancient history and prehistory of the Middle East-North African-Mediterranean area] // *Voprosy iazykovogo rodstva* [Journal of language relationship]. 2019. No. 17.3–4. P. 246–262. (In Russ.)
- Stolbova O.V.* Etimologicheskii slovar' chadskikh iazykov [Etymological dictionary of the Chadic languages]. Moskva, 2016. (In Russ.)
- Stolbova O.V.* Leksicheskaya baza dannykh po chadskim iazykam [Lexical database of the Chadic languages]. Issues 1, IV, V. Kaluga, 2005, Moskva, 2011, 2019. (In Russ.)
- AADB – Afrian Etymological Database.* <http://starling.rinet.ru> (access date 12.03.2021)
- Amborn H., Minker G., Sasse H.-J.* Das Dullay. Materialien zu einer ostkuschitischen Sprachgruppe // *Kölner Beiträge zur Afrikanistik*. 1980. No. 6. P. 228–281.
- Antoine D., Zazzo A., Freidman R.* Revisiting Jebel Sahaba: New apatite radiocarbon dates for one of the Nile Valley's earliest cemeteries // *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*. 2013. Supplement 56.
- Appleyard D.A.* Comparative Dictionary of the Agaw Language. Köln, 2006.
- Bender M.L.* Proto-Omoti Phonology and Lexicon. Carbondale, 2003.
- Biberstein-Kazimirski A. de.* Dictionnaire arabe-français. Paris, 1860.
- Blázek V.* Elephant, hippopotamus and others: On some ecological aspects of the Afroasiatic homeland // *Asian and African Studies*. 1994. No. 3/2. P. 196–212.
- Blázek V.* A lexicostatistic comparison of Omotic languages // Bengtson J. (Ed.), *Hot Pursuit of Language in Prehistory*. Amsterdam-Philadelphia, 2008.
- Blázek V.* Afroasiatic migrations // Ness I., Bellwood P. (Eds.), *The Encyclopedia of Global Human Migration*. Oxford, 2013. P. 125–132.
- Brockelmann C.* Lexicon Syriacum. Halle, 1928.
- Carneiro R.* A theory of the origin of the state. *Science*. 1970. No. 169: 733–738.
- Cohen D., Bron F., Lonnet A.* Dictionnaire des racines sémitique. Fasc. 1–2. Paris, 1970. Fasc. 3–5. Leuven, 1993.
- Diakonoff I.M.* Earliest Semites in Asia: agriculture and animal husbandry according to linguistic data (VIIIth-IVth millennia) // *Altorientalische Forschungen*. 1981. No. 8. P. 23–74.
- Dolgopolsky A.B.* Nostratic Dictionary. Cambridge, 2008.
- Ehret C.* The Historical Reconstruction of Southern Cushitic Phonology and Vocabulary. Berlin, 1980.
- Erman A., Grapow H.* Wörterbuch der ägyptischen Sprache. Bds. I–VI. Berlin, 1937–1971.
- Faulkner R.O.* A Concise Dictionary of Middle Egyptian. Oxford, 1962.
- Ferguson R.B.* The causes and origins of “primitive warfare”: On evolved motivations for War // *Anthropological Quarterly*. 2000. Vol. 73. No. 3. P. 159–164.
- Gelb L.J. et al.* (Eds.). *The Assyrian Dictionary of the Oriental Institute of the University of Chicago*. Glückstadt, 1957–2010.
- Haas J.* War. *Encyclopedia of cultural anthropology* 1996, No. 4: 1357–1361. New York: Henry Holt.
- Haas J., Piscitelli M.* The prehistory of warfare: Misled by ethnography // Fry D.P. (Ed.), *War, Peace, and Human Nature I*. New York, 2013. P. 168–190.
- Hansen S., Krause R.* (Eds.) *Materialisation of Conflicts*. Proceedings of the Third International LOEWE Conference, 24–27 September 2018 in Fulda. UPA 346. Bonn, 2019.
- Hudson G.* Highland East Cushitic Dictionary. Hamburg, 1989.
- Johnstone T.M.* Jibbāli Lexicon. New York, 1981.
- Johnstone T.M.* Mehri Lexicon and English-Mehri Word-List. London, 1987.

- Kennedy M.* Stone-age massacre offers earliest evidence of human warfare // *The Guardian*. 2016, 20 January.
- Kiessling R., Mous M.* The Lexical Reconstruction of West-Rift Southern Cushitic. Köln, 2003.
- Köhler L., Baumgartner W.* The Hebrew and Aramaic Lexicon of the Old Testament. Vols. I–V. Leiden–New York–Boston, 1994–1996, 1999–2000.
- Korotayev A., Borinskaya S., Starostin G., Meshcherina K.* Evolution of Eurasian and African family systems, cross-cultural research, comparative linguistics, and deep history // *Social Evolution and History*. 2019. Vol. 18. No. 2. P. 286–312.
- Kossmann M.* Essai sur la phonologie du proto-berbère. Köln, 1999.
- Lazaridis I. et al.* Genomic insights into the origin of farming in the ancient Near East. *Nature*. 2016. No. 536. P. 419–424. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature19310>.
- Leslau W.* Lexique Soqotri (Sudarabique moderne) avec comparaisons et explications étymologiques. Paris, 1938.
- Leslau W.* Etymological Dictionary of Gurage (Ethiopic). Vol. III. Wiesbaden, 1979.
- Leslau W.* Comparative Dictionary of Geʿez (Classical Ethiopic). Wiesbaden, 1987.
- Militarev A.* Afrasian cultural terms (preliminary report) // Shevoroshkin V. (Ed.), *Proto-Languages and Proto-Cultures*. Bochum, 1990. P. 33–54.
- Militarev A.* Towards the chronology of Afrasian (Afroasiatic) and its daughter families // Renfrew C., McMahon A., Trask L. (Eds.), *Time Depth in Historical Linguistics*. Vol. 1. Cambridge, 2000. P. 267–307.
- Militarev A.* The prehistory of a dispersal: The Proto-Afrasian (Afroasiatic) farming lexicon // Renfrew C., Bellwood P. (Eds.), *Examining the Farming/Language Dispersal Hypothesis*. Cambridge, 2002. P. 135–150.
- Militarev A. Yu., Nikolayev S. L.* Proto-Afrasian animal names and the problem of Proto-Afrasian Urheimat // *Voprosy iazykovogo rodstva [Journal of language relationship]*. 2021. No. 1.
- Nakano A.* Comparative Vocabulary of Southern Arabic – Mahri, Gibbali and Soqotri. Tokyo, 1986.
- Neit-Zerrad K.* Dictionnaire des racines berbères. Paris-Louvain, 1998.
- Orel V., Stolbova O.* Hamito-Semitic Etymological Dictionary. Materials for a Reconstruction. Leiden–New York–Köln, 1994.
- Otterbein K. F.* How War Began. Texas, 2004.
- Reinisch L.* Die Bilin-Sprache. Wörterbuch der Bilin-Sprache. Wien, 1887.
- Reinisch L.* Wörterbuch der Bedäuye-Sprache. Wien, 1895.
- Roper E.-M.* Tu Bedawie. Hertford, 1928.
- Sasse H.-J.* Consonant phonemes of Proto-East-Cushitic. *Afroasiatic Linguistics*. 1978. No. 7(1).
- Starostin S. A.* Comparative-historical linguistics and lexicostatistics. // Renfrew C., McMahon A., Trask L. (Eds.), *Time Depth in Historical Linguistics*. Vol. 1. Cambridge, 2000. P. 233–259.
- Starostin G. S.* Macrofamilies and agricultural lexicon // Robbeets M., Saveliev A. (Eds.), *Language Dispersal Beyond Farming*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia, 2017. P. 215–233.
- Swadesh M.* Towards greater accuracy in lexicostatistic dating // *International Journal of American Linguistics*. 2005. No. 21. P. 121–137.
- Takács G.* Etymological Dictionary of Egyptian. Vol. I, 1999; II, 2001; III, 2008. Leiden.
- Takács G.* Studies in Afro-Asiatic Comparative Phonology: Consonants. Berlin, 2011.
- Vycichl W.* Dictionnaire étymologique de la langue copte. Leuven, 1983.